

We will pay a high price for passive investing

This morning, as I was reading the annual report of Constellation Software, I came upon a very interesting sentence. It was written in the introductory Letter to Shareholders by the Canadian company's founder and boss Mark Leonard: "(Index investors) buy our stock because we are part of whatever index they are emulating. Their actions are formulaic. Despite the fact that they may be long-term holders, it is difficult to find someone to speak with at these indexing institutions and even if we do, they rarely know much about our company."

This sentence aptly expresses what concerns me about index investing. Index investing further worsens the market's inefficiency by suppressing its price-making function, and, in the end, it thereby diminishes the performance of the entire economy.

The stock market is a place to which companies come to obtain capital they need for their businesses. They acquire that capital from investors by issuing shares. In return, they pay returns to the investors from their profits during their existence. The secondary market is then provided to enable investors to buy and sell their stocks according to their needs.

If the market is to work well, it needs to build in mechanisms that push the individual prices towards their equilibrium values. Capital will always tend to flow to the most attractive combinations of risk and return. If there are large numbers of investors in the market who

endeavour to identify attractive investment opportunities, then they will direct their money specifically to these. Thereby, the prices of undervalued stocks will be increased and their originally above-average attractiveness will approach the average. On the other hand, originally unattractive investment opportunities will face an outflow of capital and decreasing prices. Their originally below-average attractiveness will also approach the average.

This process occurs continuously and is one of the main causes of stock price movements. The ceaseless activity of these active investors ensures that the price-making process (i.e. that through which prices seek their equilibrium positions) is sufficiently robust. Capital will flow to where the greatest returns for investors can be expected, and, over the long term, also to those companies which can best grow the value of capital. The greater the returns to capital at the companies level, the higher the productivity of the economy as a whole. The growth of any economy is dependent on just two factors: growth in the number of inhabitants and growth in productivity.

The logic is clear. If we want a rapidly growing economy, we must have rapidly rising productivity. If we want rapidly rising productivity, we must have strong returns to capital. If we want strong returns to capital, we need to have an efficient price-making process in the capital markets. If we want to



have an efficient price-making process, then we need to have a sufficient number of active investors.

The question, then, is this: Do we have a sufficient number of active investors? In a work by Ben-David, Franzoni and Moussawi entitled “Exchange Traded Funds (ETFs)” and published in the *Annual Review of Financial Economics*, we can learn that as of the end of 2016 the proportion of passive investors on the US equity market was 38%. Thus, almost two-fifths of equity assets are invested without any thought as to what is bought and at what price. Moreover, the share of passive investors is rapidly expanding. It had been 30% in 2011 and 21% in 2006. If this trend continues, very soon the majority of money will be invested passively (i.e. unthinkingly).

In fact, however, we already are far beyond this halfway point. If we add into the total of passive investors those who appear to be active but who in fact closely track an index, and if we consider that the so-called free float (that is, the number of shares actually available for trading) is substantially less than the total number of shares in issue (and in fact passive investing itself reduces the free float), then we necessarily reach the conclusion that the part of money that is passively managed has been the majority for some time already and has continued rapidly to increase.

How does price-making operate in such an environment? In short, worse and worse. The inefficiency of the overall market is further aggravated by the fact that passive investing skews data on volatility and correlations, thus sending investors misleading information regarding the risks they undertake. All this results in poorer market efficiency and insufficient levels of price-making, lower

returns to capital, lower productivity growth, and slower growth of the economy. Passive investing costs all of us in terms of economic prosperity.

Passive investing has yet another substantially negative impact on companies and the economy. It amplifies a problem that occurs within company managements that in economic theory is termed the “principal–agent problem”. Company managements are in the position of so-called “agents”, which means that they make decisions in the name of another person, the “principal”. In this case, the principal is the shareholder. This relationship constitutes a problem because the interests of the two parties are not necessarily (or even mostly) the same. Company managements have interests of their own, and frequently they give preference to their own interests over those of the shareholders.

A mechanism that should – at least to some degree – keep company managements under control is active participation of shareholders (as circumstances allow) in managing companies. In the cases of publicly traded companies, in which ownership frequently is broadly distributed, active participation already is rather difficult. If, however, most shareholders are passive investors who on the one hand know next to nothing about the specific company and on the other whose abilities and ambitions to be actively involved in company management are nearly zero, this cannot be at all good.

If we were to propose an ideal way for the capital markets to function, then passive investors would play only a marginal role and company managements would be under the



strict oversight of active investors. Unfortunately, we are straying further and further from this ideal precisely due to today's growing popularity of passive investing. Even

this trend will reverse one day, but in the meantime we all will be paying a high price for the present situation.

Invest with care!

Daniel Gladiš, 9 May 2018

For more information

Visit www.vltavafund.com

Write us investor@vltavafund.com

Follow us www.facebook.com/vltavafund

Disclaimer :

Our estimates and projections concerning the future can and probably will be incorrect. You should not rely upon them solely but use also your own best judgment in making your investment decisions.

This document expresses the opinion of the author as at the time it was written and is intended exclusively for educational purposes.

The information contained in this letter to shareholders may include statements that, to the extent they are not recitations of historical fact, constitute "forward-looking statements" within the meaning of applicable foreign securities legislation. Forward-looking statements may include financial and other projections, as well as statements regarding our future plans, objectives or financial performance, or the estimates underlying any of the foregoing. Any such forward-looking statements are based on assumptions and analyses made by the fund in light of its experience and perception of historical trends, current conditions and expected future developments, as well as other factors we believe are appropriate in the given circumstances. However, whether actual results and developments will conform to our expectations and predictions is subject to a number of risks, assumptions and uncertainties. In evaluating forward-looking statements, readers should specifically consider the various factors which could cause actual events or results to differ materially from those contained in such forward-looking statements. Unless otherwise required by applicable securities laws, we do not intend, nor do we undertake any obligation, to update or revise any forward-looking statements to reflect subsequent information, events, results or circumstances or otherwise.

This letter to shareholders does not constitute or form part of, and should not be construed as, any offer for sale or subscription of, or any invitation to offer to buy or subscribe for, the securities of the fund.

Before subscribing, prospective investors are urged to seek independent professional advice as regards both Maltese and any foreign legislation applicable to the acquisition, holding and repurchase of shares in the fund as well as payments to the shareholders.

The shares of the fund have not been and will not be registered under the United States Securities Act of 1933, as amended (the "1933 Act") or under any state securities law. The fund is not a registered investment company under the United States Investment Company Act of 1940 (the "1940 Act").

The shares in the fund shall not be offered to investors in the Czech Republic on the basis of a public offer (veřejná nabídka) as defined in Section 34 (1) of Act No. 256/2004 Coll., on Capital Market Undertakings.

The Fund is registered in the Czech National Bank's list in the category Foreign AIFs authorised to offer only to qualified investors (without EuSF and EuVECA) managed by AIFM.

Historical performance over any particular period will not necessarily be indicative of the results that may be expected in future periods.

Returns for the individual investments are not audited, are stated in approximate amounts, and may include dividends and options.

© Copyright 2018 Vltava Fund SICAV, plc a www.vltavafund.com – All rights reserved.

This document cannot be used in any publication, and it may not be disseminated, distributed or copied without prior written consent from Vltava Fund SICAV, plc.